```
1
1
                IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
                          EASTERN DIVISION
 3
 4
     HODELL-NATCO INDUSTRIES,
     INC.,
5
 6
                      Plaintiff,
7
                                       No. 1:08-cv-02755
               vs.
8
     SAP AMERICA, INC., SAP AG,
     LSI-LOWERY SYSTEMS, INC.,
 9
     THE IBIS GROUP, INC.,
10
                      Defendants.
11
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
12
                            )
                               SS.
     COUNTY OF COOK
                            )
13
14
               The videotaped deposition of DALE Van LEEUWEN
15
    taken before April M. Metzler, Certified Shorthand
16
    Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, at 191 North
17
    Wacker Drive, Suite 3700, Chicago, Illinois, commencing
18
    at 8:49 a.m. on the 18th day of July, A.D., 2012.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	66
1	A. Okay.
2	Q. I want to talk a little bit about the
3	relationship between IBiS, LSi, and SAP.
4	A. Okay.
5	Q. LSi and IBiS were what's referred to as a
6	channel partner. Is that a correct term?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. When did IBiS become an SAP channel partner?
9	A. I'm going to have to say early summer 2004,
10	without having an exact date.
11	Q. Can you explain or summarize how the channel
12	partner system, with respect to SAP, worked from your
13	perspective?
14	A. Yeah. There is a there's an annual fee
15	associated with the access to PartnerEdge, so you sign
16	up for becoming a partner. You have qualifications that
17	have to be met as far as competency from a sales
18	perspective, from a technical perspective. There's
19	training that's available to you as a result of paying
20	that initial PartnerEdge fee. Marketing material
21	becomes available to you, access to the internal portal
22	for partners, based on the product that you are licensed
23	to sell, becomes available to you.
24	Technical resources you get I believe you
25	get a you certainly get the SDK, the software

	67
1	development kit, available to you, and, I believe, we
2	had an internal development system that was available to
3	us. I can't remember that for sure, but I believe that
4	was the case.
5	Q. Well, were your was your firm encouraged by
6	SAP to hold itself out as a partner of SAP?
7	MR. STAR: Objection to form.
8	BY THE WITNESS:
9	A. I don't understand the question.
10	Q. Were you encouraged well, let me ask a
11	better question.
12	Was your firm encouraged to leverage its
13	partnership with SAP as part of the sales cycle of
14	Business One to prospective customers?
15	MR. STAR: Objection to form.
16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	A. Yes. We were expected to leverage our
18	relationship and sell SAP product, and that was
19	certainly our intent to do that.
20	Q. Did you have anyone at SAP that you were
21	expected to report to?
22	A. My my immediate contact at SAP was Ken
23	Lorenz at the time. He was my sales manager
24	(indicating). Chris Robinson was our onboarding
25	contact. Dan Kraus again, this channel was very,

	71
1	knowledge, with SAP, it's based on what is being booked
2	at the time, so it would be based on these users. It
3	wasn't anticipated future sales.
4	Q. Did you attend any seminars or meetings held
5	by SAP with respect to the Business One software?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. I hand you what's been previously marked as
8	Exhibit 33.
9	A. Okay.
10	Q. Do you recognize that document?
11	MR. STAR: What is 33? I don't have my exhibits
12	here.
13	MR. LAMBERT: It's just the notes of that 2004
14	kickoff meeting.
15	MR. HULME: The Vegas trip.
16	BY THE WITNESS:
17	A. Yes, yes, I attended this.
18	MR. STAR: Okay.
19	BY MR. LAMBERT:
20	Q. Does Exhibit 33 reflect your notes from that
21	meeting?
22	A. (Reviewing exhibit.)
23	MR. HULME: Can you identify those as your notes?
24	THE WITNESS: Pardon me?
25	MR. HULME: He said do they reflect your notes.

```
72
1
    That was kind of -- objection to form.
                     And restate the question for him.
2
         MR. STAR:
3
                         (Laughter.)
    BY MR. LAMBERT:
4
5
               Can you identify Exhibit 33 as notes that you
    took at the 2004 SAP North American field kickoff
6
7
    meeting?
8
         Α.
               (Reviewing exhibit.)
9
               Yes.
               There's a statement -- there's twelve points
10
         0.
11
    reflected in this document; is that correct?
12
         Α.
               Correct.
13
               Did you take these notes contemporaneous with
         Q.
    the discussion, or was this an after-the-fact reflection
14
15
    of what was discussed at the meeting?
               I took notes throughout the -- throughout the
16
17
    field kickoff meeting, the FKOM meeting, and this was a
18
    summation of that. It was taking my handwritten notes
    and bringing them altogether and communicating to the
19
20
    team the results of having attended the meeting.
21
                      So you took notes contemporaneous with
         0.
22
    the presentations that were given at the meeting?
23
         Α.
               That's correct.
24
               And then --
         0.
               Transcribed --
25
         Α.
```

specific.

Dale Van Leeuwen - July 18, 2012

I do not remember that.

Q. Under item 4-A, there's a sentence that is circled and starred. There's no upper size limit, however, for whom the B1 partners can sell to.

A. Correct.

- Q. What is meant by that statement?
- A. For the different products that SAP has -- and this has evolved and changed over time, and I'll just give you an example. I don't know what they were at this time, other than what I have documented here, but, you know, Business All-In-One, which is the next version up, a partner could only sell into a company that was under \$500 million. Over \$500 million SAP would sell to the account direct. We were not able to sell as a partner at the time.

Just based on what I have noted here, there was no -- nothing distinguishing or limiting a Business One partner from selling into any account. And part of that would have included the fact that the strategy associated with Business One was not to just sell to independent companies, but to also sell to subsidiaries of much larger organizations. Okay. So I might have a multi-national, multi-billion-dollar organization with a subsidiary, and as partner I could sell into that particular account.

Q. So is it your recollection that the statement

- in paragraph 4-A did not concern the user -- the user environment into which the product would be sold? In other words, numbers of users, and transaction volume, and things of that nature.
- A. I don't think that there's a direct relationship as much as you could certainly -- you could certainly say that, you know, subsidiaries of larger organizations are going to have significant user counts and so forth. And there was -- there was no -- there was nothing governing us saying, you know, Listen, sell up into this range or anything like that. It was -- it was wide open. We were able to sell into any company size. There was no limit put to that.
- Q. After you left or resigned your position from LSi, were you available to anyone for consultation with respect to the Hodell-Natco project?
- A. Yeah. I made myself available to -- to people at LSi-IBiS. I did not make myself necessarily available to -- to customers. I was not able to do that. I was limited from doing that.
 - Q. Do you recall the status of the Hodell-Natco project and implementation of SAP Business One and InFlight at the time you resigned from LSi?
- A. It was still -- the implementation hadn't started. The product was still very much in

	210
1	A. Because they were past that already as far as
2	the number of users.
3	Q. Is it your testimony that IBiS was an actual
4	SAP channel partner or reseller?
5	A. I believe again, the timing was so close
6	that I believe IBiS held its own partnership with SAP
7	for maybe some window of time, a couple weeks, before
8	the before the relationship with LSi took place. It
9	may or may not have been. I mean, I really and,
10	again, I don't mean to sound vague or or if you
11	knew some of the stuff I was going through at that point
12	in time I had back surgery. My son was born with
13	cerebral palsy. I was going through cancer. I mean
14	following that, I went through a divorce. It was a
15	horrible, horrible time.
16	Q. I understand.
17	A. And so I apologize.
18	Q. Well, there's no need for to you apologize.
19	Those are none of those
20	MR. HULME: Why did you let all those things
21	happen?
22	THE WITNESS: They distracted me a little.
23	BY MR. STAR:
24	Q. None of those are good things, of course.
25	A. I do understand. You're trying to discover

239 1 0. Does that refresh your recollection as to 2 whether, in fact, The IBiS Group as of April of 2004 was actually an SAP reseller? 3 It doesn't. It doesn't jog my memory. 4 Α. Ι don't know. 5 When you were making -- strike that. 6 Q. 7 This'll be 297. (Exhibit No. 297 marked as 8 9 requested.) BY THE WITNESS: 10 11 The only reason I mentioned that I'm almost Α. 12 100 percent sure that there was a separate agreement for 13 IBiS is the note that is on here for LSi, just kind of jogged my memory. And that was: SAP is aware that LSi 14 15 currently has a relationship with Aperum, Inc., a 16 software company products of -- for distribution industry. This was a big issue -- I had mentioned 17 18 previously that I was a president of the ASPA, which was 19 the Aperum Solution Partners Association, and there was 20 a lot of concern in regards to partners taking on a 21 competitive line. And I was pointed at as having --22 having issue being president of the ASPA and having a 23 competitive line. 24 BY MR. STAR: 25 Q. You're looking at page 27 of the reseller

```
240
1
    agreement between SAP and LSi?
         Α.
                     And that just jogged my memory.
2
               Yes.
    That's -- that's all.
3
               Of that condition taking place as related to
4
5
    IBiS.
6
               So as we sit here right now, is it your belief
         0.
7
    that as of April 2004, for instance, IBiS Group -- The
    IBiS Group itself, separate and apart from LSi, was an
8
9
    actual reseller --
               Reseller.
10
         Α.
11
         Q.
               -- of Business One?
12
         Α.
               Yes.
                     We -- yeah. I'm pretty sure that we had
13
    signed a reseller agreement before LSi did.
               And so when you were out saying things to Otto
14
         Ο.
15
    Reidl, for instance, about the number of users that
16
    Business One was appropriate for, you were doing that on
    behalf of The IBiS Group, weren't you?
17
18
         MR. HULME: Objection, foundation.
19
    BY THE WITNESS:
20
               I don't remember when that conversation took
         Α.
21
    place in relation to that.
               You were the president, the sole owner of The
22
         Q.
23
    IBiS Group?
24
               I don't know that.
         Α.
25
         Q.
               Do you --
```

```
283
1
         MR. STAR:
                    Objection, objection, no form.
2
    BY MR. LAMBERT:
               When you -- when LSi and SAP were -- or when
3
    LSi and IBiS were referring to SAP as their business
4
5
    partner, that was a different connotation when LSi and
    IBiS are referring to Hodell as their business partner?
6
7
         MR. STAR:
                     Objection.
    BY MR. LAMBERT:
8
9
         0.
               Is that fair?
               That is fair.
10
          Α.
11
               For example, LSi and IBiS were licensed to
         Q.
12
    sell SAP products, correct?
13
         MR. STAR: Objection to form.
    BY THE WITNESS:
14
15
         Α.
               That is correct.
               Well, more accurately, LSi and IBiS were
16
    licensed to sell SAP Business One; is that fair?
17
18
         MR. STAR:
                    Objection to form, assumes facts.
19
    BY THE WITNESS:
20
         Α.
               Yes.
21
                        Is there a way I can correct that?
         MR. LAMBERT:
                                                              Ι
22
    mean, what fact can I assume?
23
         MR. STAR: Go ahead. I'll ask him some more
24
    questions.
25
         MR. LAMBERT:
                        Okay.
```

```
284
    BY MR. LAMBERT:
1
               LSi --
2
         Q.
                     I'll tell you, just so we can clear it
3
         You're assuming that IBiS was licensed to sell the
4
5
    software, and it's actually not true.
         MR. LAMBERT:
6
                        Okay.
7
         MR. STAR:
                     Unless there's some other document that
8
    none of us has seen.
9
    BY MR. LAMBERT:
               LSi was licensed to sell SAP Business One, to
10
         0.
    the best of your knowledge, correct?
11
12
         Α.
               That's correct.
13
         Q.
               Okay.
         Α.
               And wasn't -- isn't 32 showing that IBiS also
14
15
    had the ability to sell?
16
         MR. HULME: Well, unless you want to read the whole
17
    thing, I would not represent what's in those papers.
18
    BY MR. LAMBERT:
               At least to some -- well, do you want to
19
         0.
    review that before you finish your answer?
20
21
               (Reviewing exhibit.)
         Α.
22
               Yeah, you're correct, so this really pertains
23
    to the software development kit.
24
               I find it very hard to believe that SAP would
25
    have entered into strictly a software development kit
```

	285
1	license with us without having the ability to sell the
2	product.
3	Q. To your knowledge, LSi or yeah LSi and
4	IBiS were authorized to use SAP's logo on their
5	letterhead and other marketing documents?
6	A. To my knowledge, yes.
7	MR. STAR: Objection to form.
8	BY MR. LAMBERT:
9	Q. Greg asked you some questions about your
10	specific recollection about a conversation with Dan
11	Kraus in which you came to an understanding that SAP
12	Business One could support up to 500 users. Do you
13	recall that questioning?
L4	A. Yes.
15	Q. And he and and the two of you were
16	focusing on, Well, who said who specifically said 500
17	users. Did 500 users come out of Dan Kraus' mouth, or
18	did that come out of Dan Lowery's mouth?
19	A. Right.
20	Q. Do you recall that question?
21	A. I do remember, yes.
22	Q. And your figure [sic] was an open
23	conversation. What did you mean by that?
24	A. Meaning that we had a dialog that spoke to the
25	number of users that SAP was capable of operating, and

```
305
1
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
                                       )
     NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
2
                                           SS.
     EASTERN DIVISION
3
     STATE OF ILLINOIS
                                       )
     COUNTY OF COOK
4
5
               I, April M. Metzler, Certified Shorthand
6
7
    Reporter and Certified Realtime Reporter, do hereby
8
    certify that DALE Van LEEUWEN was first duly sworn by me
9
    to testify to the whole truth and that the above
10
    videotaped deposition was reported stenographically by
11
    me and reduced to typewriting under my personal
    direction.
12
               I further certify that the said videotaped
13
    deposition was taken at the time and place specified and
14
15
    that the taking of said deposition commenced on the 18th
16
    day of July, A.D., 2012, at 8:49 a.m.
17
               I further certify that I am not a relative or
18
    employee or attorney or counsel of any of the parties,
19
    nor a relative or employee of such attorney or counsel,
20
    nor financially interested directly or indirectly in
21
    this action.
22
23
24
25
```

Witness my official signature, this 26th day of July, A.D., 2012. APRIL M. METZLER, CSR, RPR, CRR 205 West Randolph Street 5th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60606 Phone: (312) 236-6936 CSR No. 084-004394